


Private sensor networks

- Must deliver sensor data – very quickly.
- Want to use Internet technologies – cheap, reliable, robust.
- Want more speed than TCP can offer.
- Congestion is not a problem; private single-owner managed network with scheduled traffic, single flow per link with no competition. **This is not the shared public Internet!**
- Sensor capabilities are ever-increasing (side-effects of Moore's law).
Need to scale for ever-growing data sizes.
- Support for streaming and simultaneous delivery to multiple receivers is also useful.
- **Saratoga protocol designed to meet these needs.**

Saratoga's Development



Saratoga redesigned, specified to the Internet Engineering Task Force, 2007.

NASA Glenn uses *Saratoga* to test DTN and Interplanetary Internet on UK-DMC, 2008.

Multiple *Saratoga* implementations in progress with interoperability testing.

Research led to new use

- SSTL remote-sensing images grew to cross 4GiB file size, needing >32-bit pointers.
- How to design a *scalable* file transfer protocol able to handle any size file, without requiring separate incompatible implementations for big files?
- Solved this problem with 16/32/64/128-bit pointers and advertising capabilities.  not needed - yet!
- Support for scalability and streaming introduced new users – high-speed networking for radio astronomy in Very Long Baseline Interferometers.

What is *Saratoga* ?

- *Saratoga* is a high-speed, UDP-based, peer-to-peer reliable transport protocol, providing error-free guaranteed delivery of files, or streaming of data.
- Send data packets out as fast as you can. No specified congestion control is required, since data is usually only going one hop over a private link, or across high-speed, low-congestion private networks.
- Some implementations have a rate-limiting option for restricted downstream links where line rate may not match downstream radio link
- No specified timers means no timeouts, so *Saratoga* is can be used in very long propagation delay networks.
- *Saratoga* is an excellent protocol to use in highly asymmetric network topologies.

Saratoga Operation

Simple sliding window with selective acknowledgments.

- The HOLESTOFILL list on the receiver requests the transmitter to re-send frames that have not been properly received (a SNACK) by sending a STATUS with the list of HOLESTOFILL.
- The receive window only advances when offsets are contiguous. The left edge of the transmit window does not advance until the holes have been acknowledged by a HOLESTOFILL frame with an advanced offset.
- The UDP checksum is used per packet to cover both the header and payload. It is consistent, but not that strong (one's complement), and does not provide end-to-end guarantees for payloads sent using multiple packets.
- An optional end-to-end checksum, using one of CRC32/MD5/SHA-1, over the entire file being transferred, increases confidence that a reliable copy has been made, and that fragments have been reassembled correctly.

Optional features of *Saratoga* V1

Specified to the IETF in an experimental internet-draft. Adds features.

Major features

- Scalable to handle large files. 16-bit descriptors for efficiency with small files. 128-bit descriptors cope with *huge* files up to 2^{128} bytes. 32- and 64-bit descriptors most useful.
- Streaming of data is supported. This allows *Saratoga* to be used for real-time delivery outside the file-based store-and-forward paradigm.

Minor features

- Supports link-local multicast to advertise presence, discover peers and for delivery to multiple receivers simultaneously for e.g. file or code image updates. (Will outperform TFTP trivial file transfer.)
- Optional UDP-Lite use for tolerating errors in payloads and minimizing checksum computation overhead. The UDP-Lite checksum covers a minimum of IP/UDP-Lite/*Saratoga* headers. The header content is always checked so that the information *about* the data is error-free.
- Optional “DTN bundle” delivery as a “bundle convergence layer”. Shown with tests from the UK-DMC satellite.

What *Saratoga* does not do

- There is no MTU discovery mechanism, so you have to know the maximum packet size your network can transmit at. i.e. dictated by the frame size. This is okay for your own private network, but would be troublesome if used across the Internet.
- ***Saratoga* does not include “slow-start” or congestion control.** That is considered bad and unsociable behaviour on the Internet. *Saratoga* just blasts away on a link with no regard for other flows - which is the exact behaviour that makes it desirable in private networks and these environments!
 - Simulations have shown that it is possible to implement congestion control mechanisms in *Saratoga* if desired – see University of Oklahoma paper describing Sender-Based TCP Friendly Rate Control (2010 IEEE Aerospace Conference).
 - *Saratoga*'s timestamp option can be used to implement such *closed-loop* mechanisms.
 - Simple *open-loop* rate-limiting output to *XMbps* can also allow *Saratoga* to coexist with other traffic.

Saratoga Version 1 Implementations



C (Charles Smith under contract to Cisco Systems)

- Implementation licensed to CSIRO by Cisco
- Built for Speed (Raw I/O)
- Streaming to be implemented in FPGA
- File transfer may be implemented in FPGA

C (Surrey Satellite Technology Limited - SSTL)

- Implemented in Real-Time Operating System for high-speed image transfers from Low Earth Orbiting (LEO) satellites over highly asymmetric links

PERL (NASA Glenn Research Center)

- Sequential file transfer and rate-limiting implemented

C++ (NASA Glenn Research Center)

- Discovery, multiplexed file transfer, hooks for bundling and streaming and rate-limiting to be implemented

Wireshark Dissector (Charles Smith)

We hope to make some of these implementations available to the public.

Development Strategy

- We plan to take Saratoga Version 1 through as an individual submission for Experimental status.
 - Rationale: Keep the current implementations moving along and maintain interoperability between current implementations.
 - Keep detailed discussion on Version 1 to saratoga-discussion list (those interested in joining that list, please contact Lloyd Wood – see draft for current contact information)
- However, we would like TSVWG to begin reviewing the V1 specification for general constructive comments and criticism as well as to gauge consensus on adopting work on v2 as a Proposed Standard
- Comments / Suggestions ?

Saratoga Transactions

GET

Get a named file from the peer

GETDIR

Get a directory listing of files from the peer

DELETE

Delete a named file from the peer

PUT

Put a named file or stream data to the peer

PUTDIR

Put a directory listing of local files to the peer

Saratoga Frame Types

BEACON

Sent periodically. Describes the *Saratoga* peer:
Identity (e.g. EID)
capability/desire to send/receive packets.
max. file descriptor handled: 16/32/64/128-bit.

REQUEST

Asks for a file initiating 'get' transaction
get file
get directory listing
delete a file.

METADATA

Sent at start of transaction. Initiates a 'put' transaction.
Describes the file, bundle or stream:
set identity for transaction
file name/details, including size.
set descriptor size offsets to be used for this transaction
(16/32/64/128-bit pointer sizes.)


DATA

Actual Data.
Uses descriptor of chosen size to indicate offset for data segment
in the file/bundle or stream.
May request an 'ack' (send me a holestofill).

STATUS

Missing Data Offsets / Error & Status Messages
Selective negative ack ('snack') HOLESTOFILL data.
Set left window edge for successful transfer so far
List of offsets and lengths indicate missing 'holes' in data.

Transaction GET or GETDIR



Transaction PUT or PUTDIR

